# Multiple solutions for some elliptic equations with a nonlinearity concave in the origin* 

Francisco O.V. de Paiva ${ }^{a \dagger}$ and Eugenio Massa ${ }^{b \ddagger}$<br>${ }^{a}$ IMECC-UNICAMP, Caixa Postal 6065, 13081-970. Campinas-SP, Brazil<br>${ }^{b}$ ICMC-USP, CP 668, 13560-970, São Carlos-SP, Brazil<br>e-mail: eugenio@icmc.usp.br (E. Massa), odair@ime.unicamp.br (F. de Paiva)


#### Abstract

In this paper we establish the existence of multiple solutions for the semilinear elliptic problem $$
\begin{array}{cll} -\Delta u=-\lambda|u|^{q-2} u+a u+g(u) & \text { in } & \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { on } & \partial \Omega, \end{array}
$$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$ such that $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=0, \lambda>0$ is real parameter, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1<q<2$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
-\Delta u=-\lambda|u|^{q-2} u+a u+g(u) & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an open bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda>0$ is a real parameter, $1<q<2$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class $C^{1}$.

Elliptic problems with nonlinearities having the concave term $|u|^{q-2} u, 1<$ $q<2$, have been studied by several authors (see [1, 8, 9, 10, 11]). The case $\lambda>0$ was considered by Perera in [10] and by Wu \& Yang in [11]. In [10], the author studied existence of multiple solutions for the coercive case and for the

[^0]Ambrosetti-Prodi like case, while in [11] only existence of solutions is studied, for the asymptotically linear case.

Here we will consider the multiplicity of solutions when the behavior at infinity of the nonlinearity is asymptotically linear or superlinear; in particular we consider the following assumptions:
$\left(g_{0}\right) g(0)=0$,
$\left(g_{1}\right) g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $a \in\left[\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}\right)$,
( $g_{2}$ ) (i) $G(u) \geq 0$,
(ii) $G(u) \leq C+C|u|^{p}$ with $2<p<p^{*}=(2 N) /(N-2)$.

Moreover, let $m(t)=a t+g(t), M(t)=\int_{0}^{t} m(s) d s=\frac{a}{2} t^{2}+G(t)$ and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} \frac{m(s)}{s}=b^{ \pm}:
$$

$\left(g_{3}\right) b^{ \pm} \in\left(\lambda_{k+1},+\infty\right]$.
Finally, in order to have the PS condition for the functionals we will work with, one of the following conditions will be assumed:
$\left(g_{4}\right)$ (i) There exist $\bar{t}>0$ and $\mu<1 / 2$ such that $M(t) \leq \mu t m(t)$ for $|t|>\bar{t}$ (this implies $b^{ \pm}=+\infty$ );
(ii) $\mu(p-1)<\frac{N+2}{2 N}$.
$\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right) b^{ \pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ but $\left(b^{+}, b^{-}\right) \notin \Sigma$.
$\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (i) There exist $\bar{t}>0$ and $\mu<1 / 2$ such that $M(t) \leq \mu t m(t)$ for $t>\bar{t}$ (this implies $b^{+}=+\infty$ );
(ii) $b^{-} \in \mathbb{R}$ but $b^{-} \neq \lambda_{1}$;
(iii) There exists $\alpha \in[0,1)$ such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{m(s)-\lambda|s|^{q-2} s-\left(b^{-}\right) s}{|s|^{\alpha}}=0$ and $\mu(p-1)<\min \left\{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \frac{N+2}{2 N}\right\}$.

We are denoting by $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{j} \leq \ldots$ the eigenvalues of $\left(-\Delta, H_{0}^{1}\right)$, where each $\lambda_{j}$ occurs in the sequence as often as its multiplicity, and by $\Sigma$ the Fučík spectrum of the operator (see for example in [6] or in [4]).

The main results of this work are the following two theorems:
Theorem 1.1 Assume that $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{0}\right),\left(g_{2}\right)(i i),\left(g_{3}\right)$ with $k \geq 0$ and one of the $\left(g_{4}\right)$ 's; then for all $\lambda>0$ problem (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions (one positive and one negative).

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{0}\right) \ldots\left(g_{3}\right)$ with $k \geq 1$ and one of the ( $g_{4}$ )'s; then there exist $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that problem (1) has at least three nontrivial solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ (of which, one positive and one negative).

Remark 1.3 The condition $\left(g_{4}\right)$ (ii) will be used only in lemma 3.1 , in order to prove the PS condition for $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$(see equation (3)): if we remove this condition, the effect is that we may no more distinguish the positive and the negative solution, so that we obtain only one of unknown sign in place of these two solutions.

Moreover, if hypothesis $\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is satisfied without condition (iii), then $F_{\lambda}$ does not satisfies the PS conditions but $F_{\lambda}^{-}$does, and then we still have at least the negative solution; in fact, in this case the nonlinearity $m(s)$ satisfies the hypothesis $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ for $s \leq 0$, then it is obvious that the negative solution we find in that case is still a solution in this one.

## 2 Proofs of the main Theorems

The classical solutions of the problem (1) correspond to critical points of the functional $F_{\lambda}$, defined, on $H_{0}^{1}=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the above assumptions $F_{\lambda}$ is a functional of class $C^{1}$.
Moreover, define, for $u \in H_{0}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{ \pm}\right)^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G\left(u^{ \pm}\right) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $u^{-}=\min \{u, 0\}$ : by virtue of condition $\left(g_{0}\right), F_{\lambda}^{ \pm} \in$ $C^{1}$ and the critical points $u_{ \pm}$of $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$satisfy $\pm u_{ \pm} \geq 0$ and so are critical points of $F_{\lambda}$ too: actually, $\left(F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{ \pm}\right)\left[\left(u_{ \pm}\right)^{\mp}\right]=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{ \pm}\right)^{\mp}\right|^{2} d x=0$.

### 2.1 Preliminary Lemmata

We first give some useful estimates based on the given hypotheses:
Lemma 2.1 (a) If $\left(g_{0}\right),\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)($ ii $)$ are satisfied, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $D_{\varepsilon}$ such that $G(s) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} s^{2}+D_{\varepsilon}|s|^{p}$.
(b) If $\left(g_{0}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)(i i)$ are satisfied, then there exists $D$ such that $G(s) \leq$ $D s^{2}+D|s|^{p}$.
(c) If $\left(g_{3}\right)$ is satisfied, then for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ small enough, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that $M(s) \geq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}+\varepsilon}{2} s^{2}-C_{\varepsilon}$.

Proof: By $\left(g_{0}\right),\left(g_{1}\right)$ we have that there exists a $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that $G(s) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} s^{2}$ for $|s|<\delta$; then the claim follows since by $\left(g_{2}\right)($ ii $)$ we have $G(s) \leq C+C|s|^{p} \leq$ $\frac{2 C}{\delta^{p}}|s|^{p}$ for any $|s| \geq \delta$.

Estimate (b) is analogous, since $\left(g_{0}\right)$ implies $G(s) \leq D s^{2}$ for $|s|<\delta$ and suitable $D, \delta>0$.

Finally, estimate (c) is trivial.
Now, we give the following three theorems, which will provide the structure we need to obtain the solutions claimed in theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Lemma 2.2 If $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{0}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)(i i)$, then $u \equiv 0$ is a local minimizer for $F_{\lambda}$ and $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$, for any $\lambda>0$.

Proof: It suffices to show that 0 is a local minimizer of $F_{\lambda}$ in the $C^{1}$ topology (see [2]). Then, for $u \in C_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ (we use estimate (b) in lemma 2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-D \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x-D \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\lambda}{q}-\frac{a-2 D}{2}|u|_{C^{0}}^{2-q}-D|u|_{C^{0}}^{p-q}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\frac{|a-2 D|}{2}|u|_{C_{0}^{1}}^{2-q}+C|u|_{C_{0}^{1}}^{p-q} \leq \frac{\lambda}{q}$. The same argument works for $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$.
Lemma 2.3 If $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{2}\right)($ ii $)$ and $\left(g_{3}\right)$ with $k \geq 0$, then there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\left( \pm t_{0} \varphi_{1}\right) \leq 0$, for all $\lambda$ in a limited set.

Proof: Using estimate (c) in lemma 2.1 and denoting by $\varphi_{1}$ the eigenfunction associated to $\lambda_{1}$, we have, for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}\left( \pm t \varphi_{1}\right) & =\frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \varphi_{1}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{t^{q} \lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{q} d x-\int_{\Omega} M\left( \pm t \varphi_{1}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{k+1}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}^{2} d x+\frac{t^{q} \lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{q} d x+C_{\varepsilon}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

and, since $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{k+1}$ and $q<2$, there exists a choice of $\varepsilon>0$ which proves the lemma.

Lemma 2.4 Assume that $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{0} \ldots g_{3}\right)$ with $k \geq 1$; then there exist positive numbers $r, \rho, \bar{R}$ and $\eta=\eta(\lambda)$, such that
(i) for all $u \in H_{k}:=\oplus_{j=1}^{k} \operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta-\lambda_{j} I\right)$ we have

$$
F_{\lambda}(u) \leq \eta(\lambda) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}} \eta(\lambda)=0
$$

(ii) for all $u \in S_{r}=\left\{u \in H_{k}^{\perp}\right.$ with $\left.\|u\|=r\right\}$, we have

$$
F_{\lambda}(u) \geq \rho>0 \quad \forall \lambda>0
$$

(iii) for all $u \in H_{k+1}:=\oplus_{j=1}^{k+1} \operatorname{ker}\left(-\Delta-\lambda_{j} I\right)$ and $\|u\| \geq \bar{R}$ we have

$$
F_{\lambda}(u)<0 .
$$

Proof: (i): Let $u \in H_{k}$ : we have, since $a \geq \lambda_{k}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{\lambda_{k}-a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{q} K_{q}| | u \|^{q}-\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denoted by $K_{q}$ the constant of the continuous embedding of $H_{1}^{0}$ in $L^{q}$.
From estimate (c) in lemma 2.1 (with $\varepsilon=0$ ), we see that we may find $s_{0}$ such that $G(s) \geq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2} s^{2}-C_{0} \geq 0$ for $|s|>s_{0}$; then (using also $\left(g_{2}\right)(i)$ )

$$
\int_{\Omega} G(u) d x \geq \int_{|u| \geq s_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2} u^{2}-C_{0}\right) d x
$$

now, for any $z \in H_{k}$ with $\|z\|=1$,

$$
F_{\lambda}(t z) \leq\left.\frac{\lambda}{q} K_{q}| | t z\right|^{q}-\int_{|t z| \geq s_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2}(t z)^{2}-C_{0}\right) d x
$$

Since the functions $z \in H_{k}$ with $\|z\|=1$ are smooth, they are uniformly bounded and then (since their $L^{2}$ norm is at least $\lambda_{k}^{-1 / 2}$ ) there exists a common $\varepsilon>0$ such that the sets $\Omega_{z}=\{x \in \Omega:|z(x)|>\varepsilon\}$ have measure $\left|\Omega_{z}\right|>\varepsilon$; by using this property we get, for $t>s_{0} / \varepsilon$ so that $\Omega_{z} \subseteq\left\{x \in \Omega:|t z(x)|>s_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\int_{|t z| \geq s_{0}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2}(t z)^{2}-C_{0}\right) d x \geq \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2} t^{2} \varepsilon^{2}-C_{0}|\Omega| ;
$$

then

$$
F_{\lambda}(t z) \leq t^{q} \frac{\lambda}{q} K_{q}+C_{0}|\Omega|-t^{2} \varepsilon^{3} \frac{\lambda_{k+1}-a}{2}
$$

This implies that, fixed a $\bar{\lambda}>0$, the set $T=\left\{u \in H^{k}: F_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0\right.$ for some $\lambda \in(0, \bar{\lambda}]\}$ is bounded in the $H_{0}^{1}$ norm, that is, $\|u\| \leq C_{T}$ in $T$; then from equation (4) we get, since $G \geq 0$, that

$$
F_{\lambda}(u) \leq \lambda \frac{K_{q} C_{T}^{q}}{q} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

which proves the claim.
(ii): Let $u \in H_{k}^{\perp}$ : we have, by estimate (a) in lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\frac{a}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{a+\varepsilon}{\lambda_{k+1}}\right)\|u\|^{2}-C_{\varepsilon} K_{p}\|u\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2<p$ and $a<\lambda_{k+1}$, we can choose $r$ and $\rho$ as in the lemma.
(iii): By estimate (c) in lemma 2.1, we get, for any $u \in H_{k+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\lambda}(u) & \leq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x-\int_{\Omega} M(u) d x \\
& \leq-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x+C_{\varepsilon}|\Omega|+\frac{\lambda}{q} K_{q}\|u\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $2>q$ the claim is proved.

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

By lemma 2.2 and 2.3, we may apply the Relaxed Mountain Pass Theorem in [7] (Corollary 5.11) to obtain that the following levels are critical for the functional $F_{\lambda}$ and correspond to nontrivial solutions of problem (1):

$$
c_{\lambda}^{ \pm}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma^{ \pm}} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}(\gamma(t)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma^{ \pm}=\left\{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0,1]): \quad \gamma(0)=0, \quad \gamma(1)= \pm t_{0} \varphi_{1}\right\}
$$

Moreover, as observed before, the solution corresponding to $c_{\lambda}^{+}$is positive while that corresponding to $c_{\lambda}^{-}$is negative, and so they are distinct.

As observed in remark 1.3, if only hypothesis $\left(g_{4}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ is satisfied, then we loose the PS condition for $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$, so that we may guarantee only one mountain pass critical point for $F_{\lambda}$, of unknown sign.

## Proof of Theorem 1.2

In view of lemma 2.4 we define the set

$$
Q=\left\{u+v: u \in H_{k}, \quad v=t \varphi_{k+1}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad\|u+v\| \leq \bar{R}\right\}:
$$

we have

- $F_{\lambda}(u) \leq \eta(\lambda)$ for $u \in \partial Q$, by (i) and (iii) in lemma 2.4;
- $F_{\lambda}(u) \geq \rho$ for $u \in S_{r}$, by (ii) in lemma 2.4;
- $\partial Q$ links with $S_{r}$, since by comparing (i) and (iii) in lemma 2.4 it is clear that $\bar{R}>r$.

Then, let $\lambda^{*}=\sup \{t>0: \quad \eta(\lambda)<\rho$ for all $\lambda \in(0, t)\}$ : for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ we may apply standard linking theorem, and then we find a new critical level $c_{1} \geq \rho$.

To conclude the proof, we just need to show that the level $c_{1}$ corresponds to a new solution, in fact the two paths $\gamma^{ \pm}:[0,1] \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}: t \mapsto \pm t t_{0} \varphi_{1}$ belong to $\Gamma^{ \pm}$respectively, and since their image is contained in $H_{k}$ one gets, from (i) in lemma 2.4, that $c_{\lambda}^{ \pm} \leq \eta(\lambda)<\rho \leq c_{1}$.

## 3 PS condition

Lemma 3.1 If one of the three hypotheses $\left(g_{4}\right),\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right),\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is satisfied, then the functionals $F_{\lambda}$ and $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$satisfy the $P S$ condition for any $\lambda>0$.

Proof: The claim for $F_{\lambda}$ follows from [3] (Lemma 2.2 in chapter III) under the hypothesis $\left(g_{4}\right)$ (i), and from [5] in the case $\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$; for the case $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ we do as follows.

CASE ( $g_{4}^{\prime}$ ): Suppose we have a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subseteq H_{0}^{1}$ such that $\left|F\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq K$ and $\left|F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\|v\|$ for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}$ : it is a classical result that the PS condition follows if we prove that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, so suppose $1 \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ and define $U_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}$ so that, up to a subsequence, $U_{n} \rightarrow U$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}$ and strongly in $L^{2}$.

By $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ we may estimate $\left|m(s)-b^{+} s^{+}-b^{-} s^{-}\right| \leq \varepsilon|s|+C_{\varepsilon}$ and so

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|m\left(u_{n}\right)-b^{+} u_{n}^{+}-b^{-} u_{n}^{-}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} d x \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega}\left|U_{n}\right| d x+\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, and then tends to zero.
Now consider, for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}$ :

$$
\left|\frac{F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)[v]}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right|=\left|\int_{\Omega} \nabla U_{n} \nabla v d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} v}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} d x-\int_{\Omega} \frac{m\left(u_{n}\right) v}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} d x\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n} \frac{\|v\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}:
$$

summing and subtracting $\int_{\Omega} \frac{b^{+} u_{n}^{+}+b^{-} u_{n}^{-}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} v d x$ and since $q<2$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla U_{n} \nabla v d x-b^{+} \int_{\Omega} U_{n}^{+} v d x-b^{-} \int_{\Omega} U_{n}^{-} v d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking weak limit gives

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla U \nabla v d x-b^{+} \int_{\Omega} U^{+} v d x-b^{-} \int_{\Omega} U^{-} v d x=0
$$

which implies $U=0$ since $\left(b^{+}, b^{-}\right) \notin \Sigma$; this gives contradiction since once that $U_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}$, by choosing $v=U_{n}$ in (5) one would deduce $1=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla U_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0$.

For $F_{\lambda}^{+}$one has that in the cases $\left(g_{4}\right)$ (with (ii)) and $\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ it satisfies the hypotheses in [5], while in the case $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ it still satisfies $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ with $b^{-}=0$ : actually $\left(b^{+}, 0\right) \notin \Sigma$ since otherwise this would imply $b^{+}=\lambda_{1}$ but then also $\left(b^{+}, b^{-}\right) \in \Sigma$, which was excluded by hypothesis.

Finally, for $F_{\lambda}^{-}$one reduces again to the case $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, now with $b^{+}=0$, both in case $\left(g_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, while the case $\left(g_{4}\right)$ reduces to the hypotheses of [5] by simply changing the sign of the variable in the nonlinearity.

Note that, as observed in remark 1.3, hypothesis $\left(g_{4}\right)($ ii $)$ is used just to prove the PS condition for $F_{\lambda}^{ \pm}$: it is not necessary for $F_{\lambda}$; on the other side hypothesis $\left(g_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)($ iii $)$ is needed for the PS condition of $F_{\lambda}$ but not for $F_{\lambda}^{-}$.
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